COMPLAIN BY PILOT NO 16.

ACCORDING RULE 8.9.2 (UNLESS OTHER WISE SPECIFIED, TASKS IN A MULTIPLE TASK FLIGHT SHALL BE FLOWN IN THE ORDER INDICATED IN THE TASK DATA, PENALTY UPTO 1000 TASK POINTS IN EACH TASK.)
PILOTS SHOULD FLY THE TASKS IN ORDER INDICATED IN A TASK SHEET AND DURRING THE BRIEFING YOU COULD UNDERSTAND THAT COMPETITION DICECTOR SET THE TASKS IN AWAY THAT:

FIRST TWO TASKS #6 and #7 WAS WELL IN ANY ORDER BETWEEN THEM AND THE FOLLOWING TASKS #8, #9, #60 WAS IN ORDER BETWEEN THEM.

PILOTS # 3, #6 and #14 DROPPED MARKERS IN MMA OF TASK #8.

THAT ACCORDING RULE 8.4.3 WHEN MARKERS ARE USED, DROPPING THE MARKER OF A TASK BS DEFINED IN THE TOS, INDICATES THE COMPLETION OF THAT TASK AND THE START OF THE FOLLOW ON TASK, IF APPLICABLE THEIR FOLLOW ON TASK ACCORDING TASK SHEET OLDER IS #9.

BUT THEY DID SCORE ELECTRONIC MARK OF TASK #4. BETWEEN TASK #8 and #9.

WHICH IS NOT IN THE ORDER INDICATED IN ATASK SHEET.

I ASK TO RESCORE RESULTS ACCORDING TASK SHEET ORDER.

PILOT #16 POKAS KOSTWEKEVIJUS

Sofe

26:20 Thin/

Dear Pilot Nr. 16

Unfortunately, I can't accept your complaint. As you wrote, you understood that only tasks Nr. 6 and Nr. 7 can be done in any order and rest task should be done in order. But it looks that other pilots understood statement "in any order" in other way. The rules do not clearly explain the statements "in order" and "in any order" and how to interpret it. I can't punish pilots for they decision to achieve the results in the way they did, even that I had another intention. But because I didn't explain it clearly during the briefing I should accept they results.

Eugenijus Komas

Event director